	Approved For Release 2001		DATE	A = /
' پر	NOTICE OF PENDING LEGISL	ATION	5 April 1 LEGISLATIVE BILL NO. S.	STATIN
SECTION		GENERAL	V	
			EGISLATIVE COUNSEL	
[™] .√ Mr.		/	FICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	
Mr.				
THE AT	TACHED BILL, WHICH HAS BEEN INTR	ODUCED INTO CONG	RESS, IS:	
	SENT TO YOU FOR INFORMATION ONLY	.		
	A BILL ON WHICH FAVORABLE CONGRE	SSIONAL ACTION	IS IS NOT PREDICT	ED.
	SENT FOR YOUR COMMENT AS TO WHET		• •	WHETHER
	_		-	STATII
	IT IS REQUESTED THAT COMMENTS CON APPROPRIATE CHANNELS, TO THIS OF		SLATION BE FORWARDED, THROUGH	017(111
SECTION II		From Original Addi	· csaec)	
	ISLATIVE COUNSEL	FROM:		
OFF	ICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	De	puty Director of Securi	ty
Refe	Reference is made to your ressed to Mr. Roger W. JONE erence, Bureau of the Budge	S, Assistant I t, Washington	(Investigations & Suppose D. ated 23 September 1953 Director for Legislative 25, D.C., and to subse-	rt)
Refe que	Reference is made to your ressed to Mr. Roger W. JONE	memorandum de S, Assistant I t, Washington representative	(Investigations & Suppose D. ated 23 September 1953 Director for Legislative 25, D.C., and to subsect of this office and Mr.	rt)
Refe quer PFOI refe Bure Sub,	Reference is made to your ressed to Mr. Roger W. JONE erence, Bureau of the Budge at conversations between a	memorandum de S, Assistant I t, Washington representative claim. f this office y in 1955, the on and denied in the performs heard by the	Investigations & Suppose ated 23 September 1953 Director for Legislative 25, D.C., and to subsect of this office and Mr. So that subsequent to the eclaim was heard by the on the basis that the mance of duty. It is a Employees Compensation	rt) TATINTL
Reference PFOI	Reference is made to your ressed to Mr. Roger W. JONE erence, Bureau of the Budge at conversations between a RZHEIMER regarding Mrs. It is the understanding of erenced conversations, earlieau of Employees Compensations of the believed that the case was	memorandum de S, Assistant I t, Washington representative claim. f this office y in 1955, the on and denied in the performs heard by the same grounds sideration si your office his time, it information dis	CInvestigations & Suppose ated 23 September 1953 Director for Legislative 25, D.C., and to subsect of this office and Mr. So that subsequent to the ending was heard by the on the basis that the mance of duty. It is a Employees Compensation so the before the bill is remay be appropriate to sclosed to the Bureau of	TATINTL
Reference PFOI refere	Reference is made to your ressed to Mr. Roger W. JONE erence, Bureau of the Budge of conversations between a RZHEIMER regarding Mrs. It is the understanding of erenced conversations, early eau of Employees Compensation ject's death did not occur of believed that the case was eals Board and denied on the This office feels that costibility of intervention by ted out of committee. At the sent to the committee the intervention in the committee of the committee of the sent to the committee the intervention by the committee of the sent to the committee the intervention by the committee of the sent to the committee the intervention by the committee of the sent to the committee the intervention by the committee of the sent to the committee the intervention by the committee of the sent to the committee the intervention of the sent to th	memorandum de S, Assistant I t, Washington representative claim. If this office y in 1955, the on and denied in the performs heard by the e same grounds will be some ground of 23 September the foregoing information in the Subation, however the publicity	Investigations & Supposed to 23 September 1953 Director for Legislative 25, D.C., and to subsect of this office and Mr. That subsequent to the calaim was heard by the on the basis that the mance of duty. It is a Employees Compensation is the control of the basis that the mance of the basis that the mance of the basis that the mance of duty. It is a Employees Compensation is the control of the basis that the control of the	TATINTL
Reference PFOI refere	Reference is made to your ressed to Mr. Roger W. JONE erence, Bureau of the Budge of conversations between a RZHEIMER regarding Mrs. It is the understanding of erenced conversations, earlieau of Employees Compensation of the Budget of that the case was eals Board and denied on the This office feels that considered out of committee. At the sent to the committee the insulation by the sent to the committee the insulation by the control of the Army which beat the existence of security in the this Agency, which associate the because of the advertisable because of the advertisable because of the advertisable because of the advertisable because of the surface of the surface of the advertisable because of the advertisable becau	memorandum de S, Assistant I t, Washington representative claim. If this office y in 1955, the on and denied in the performs heard by the e same grounds will be some ground of 23 September the foregoing information in the Subation, however the publicity	Investigations & Supposed to 23 September 1953 Director for Legislative 25, D.C., and to subsect of this office and Mr. That subsequent to the calaim was heard by the on the basis that the mance of duty. It is a Employees Compensation is the control of the basis that the mance of the basis that the mance of the basis that the mance of duty. It is a Employees Compensation is the control of the basis that the control of the	rt) TATINTL

It is desirable to avoid the contingency whereby it will be necessary, if this bill becomes law, to appropriately brief the Court of Claims in an attempt to control the dissemination of the above-mentioned Department of the Army information.

Please contact this office if you have any questions concerning our position in this matter.

Often discussing with STATINTL June 1956

pthick, determined no agency action should be taken
on This bill. Pointed out that DCI was abusely
on nearly as not opposing province bill, and although
the content of the new bill is different, any attempt
Toppose it would be embrassing to agency.